## Civil and Environmental Engineering Department

## CEE Team 2 - Baltimore Can-Do-It!

# Alternative Central Avenue Conduit System

Thilini Amarasinghe, Jeremiah Hannon, Daniela Martinez-Ramos, Owen Schweitzer



### Project Description

The Central Avenue Design-Build project in Baltimore consisted of the beautification of the streetscape and the construction of a connector bridge to Harbor Point. A major facet of the project involved the upgrade of the City of Baltimore's existing conduit system, which houses and protects underground cabling for electrical, communication, and signaling systems. The current conduit system was deemed outdated and incapable of supporting the demands of the area's expanding infrastructure. From its genesis, the project faced significant obstacles: the quickly deteriorating existing system, a lack of clarity in Baltimore City RFPs and utility plans, and the operational limitations set by the City. The original approach upgraded the existing system; the proposed approach considers leaving the current system as is and adding a new addition capable of facilitating current and future utility needs. The resulting report serves as a case study for future municipal conduit projects and provide insight as to the feasibility, economics, and continued viability of alternate approaches.



Original Condition of Baltimore City Conduit Section



Map of Central Avenue Project Location and Extents

### Unique Project Elements

Though the resulting case study is intended to be used as a general guide for future conduit redevelopment projects, the Harbor Point Streetscape project had idiosyncrasies which distinguish it from similar projects. Unlike many cities where utility companies own the conduit, Baltimore City retained ownership of the system and charged a fee to users such as BGE. Both the design-build method of the project and the contract disputes surrounding the unclear RFPs represented departures from the typical municipal conduit project which need to be considered when applying this case study.

#### <u>Acknowledgements</u>

This project was sponsored by Allan Myers and facilitated by the University of Maryland Civil and Environmental Engineering Department. Special thanks to Will Sigafoose of Allan Myers for his tireless support in project development and execution.

4" CONDUIT. TYPICAL  23 1/2"  23 1/2"  23 1/2"  23 1/2"  23 1/2"  23 1/2"  23 1/2"  24 1 D. PVC  AND 1-2" I.D. PVC	26°  D-7  2-5° I.D. PVC  AND 4-3° I.D. PVC	19 16"  19 16"  9 50  4-6" LD. PVC	34 1/4"  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
26 11/16"  26 11/16"  27 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		8-5 AND SPECIAL	1
38"  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10-5	1 14"  1 14"  1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
DUCT SECTIONS  NO SCALE  NOTE 1 - ALL CONDUIT RUNS SHALL BE TYPE DB 120 PVC EXCEPT FOR CONDUIT RUNS			
THAT ARE TO BE S CONDUIT IN THE VI	BLEEVED THROUGH CASING PIPES SHA ICINITY OF VEOLIA HOT WATER-CHILLED BLASS REINFORCED PIPE (FRP). (SEE SI	LL BE SCH. 40 PVC. WATER LINES SHALL BE	•

Duct Section Profiles provided by Allan Myers

Cost Estimation				
<b>Construction Activity</b>	Man Hour Costs	<b>Material Costs</b>		
Deep Connections	\$139,993	\$482,747		
Total Conduit	\$2,322,059	\$1,213,291		
Manholes	\$0	\$609,900		
Concrete Slabs	\$57,807	\$27,366		
Shoreing	\$257,200	\$185,378		
Brick Adjustments	\$18,698	\$31,000		
Jack and Bore	\$818,006	\$4,771,536		
Spoils Removal	\$0	\$380,000		
Total	\$3,613,764	\$7,701,219		
Overall Cost	\$11,314,983			

Cost Estimation Table for Proposed Conduit System

### Cost Estimate Assumptions

- These cost estimates were based off of 2018 price points.
- There is a 7% increase in all cost calculations to account for material waste and varying man hours.

### Methods

Primary components of importance for the case study include creating new layout plans, conduit designs and a comparative cost analysis of the approach implemented by the City of Baltimore and our proposed alternative

#### **New Layout Plans:**

Development of new layout was created by referencing the as-built documents provided by Allan Myers to ensure the modifications could be constructed feasibly

#### **Conduit Designs:**

- Upsizing or merging of conduit duct banks as to fulfill the contractually specified capacity with a 20% or greater increase
- Deviations from the implemented plans occurred at the following instances:
  - Upsized conduits needed to be rerouted
  - Deep connection (steel-cased sections)
  - Special instances that required additional accommodation such as the expansion of a manhole

#### **Comparative Cost Analysis:**

Cost estimation of the quantity and installation of the conduit duct banks for the entire span of Central Avenue

### Conclusion

The newly proposed approach is more favorable due to the slight adjustments made which increased system capacity at no additional cost. We recommend in future cases to construct a supplementary system instead of updating an existing and outdated system.

